CWA

How The T-Mobile/Sprint Merger Will Impact Minnesota

The proposed T-Mobile and Sprint merger is bad news for workers and consumers in Minnesota and across the country. While T-Mobile and Sprint are making vague promises that the merger will create thousands of jobs in the U.S., the data and the companies' track records tell a different story.

The merger would result in job loss for Minnesota workers, increased prices for Minnesota consumers, and shuttered stores in urban areas of the state, while still leaving rural parts of Minnesota without access to high-speed broadband.

CWA's comprehensive economic analysis finds that the merger will result in the loss of 30,000 U.S. jobs, including 272 jobs lost in Minnesota.¹

U.S. Census-defined urban area	Number of existing stores in Minnesota	Projected store closures in Minnesota	Projected retail jobs lost (net) in Minnesota
Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN-WI	216	38	-204
St. Cloud, MN	6	2	-13
Rochester, MN	7	2	-13
Duluth, MN-WI	6	1	-5
Other cities in the state	40	7	-37
TOTAL FOR THE STATE	275	51	-272

Higher prices for Minnesota consumers.

Eliminating the head-to-head competition between T-Mobile and Sprint would mean higher prices for Minnesota consumers, especially price-conscious customers of their prepaid carriers Boost (Sprint) and MetroPCS (T-Mobile). Economists estimate that prices for pre-paid plans will rise by 15.5% and 9.1% for post-paid plans.² This means that the proposed merger would negatively impact many low- and moderate-income consumers.

Reduced wireless competition in Minnesota.

The merger raises real competitive concerns. The data shows that a dissatisfied T-Mobile customer is most likely to switch to Sprint, and vice versa. After the merger, this choice goes away, reducing each company's incentives to keep prices low and offer new services. In addition, the new T-Mobile would control a vast amount of spectrum, the key input for wireless services. When a company holds more than 1/3 of the spectrum in a local market, the FCC sees competitive concerns. In Minnesota, the "New T-Mobile" would trigger the spectrum screen in 65 out of 87 counties in the state - including where 93.8% of Minnesota's population lives.

Rural Minnesota would still be left behind.

The merger would still leave the majority of rural Americans without access to high-speed



broadband and wouldn't dramatically improve the rural offerings compared to what the two companies would provide as standalone competitors. The companies' own filings with the FCC show that 46 million Americans, mostly in rural areas, will be left without access to the New T-Mobile's high-capacity network.

The merger is not necessary for deployment of next generation 5G wireless.

T-Mobile and Sprint tell regulators that they need the merger to build 5G wireless. But the companies sing a different tune when talking to investors. As recently as October 2018, T-Mobile told investors it will build 5G as a stand-alone company in "hundreds of cities" across the U.S. in 2018 and a national 5G network in the first half by 2020.³ The same month, Sprint announced it will launch its 5G network in the first half of 2019.⁴ These companies do not need to merge to deploy 5G.

Workers' wages will suffer too.

The proposed merger will leave retail wireless workers worse off by reducing the number of national wireless retail employers from four to three. Fewer employers means reduced competition for labor, which leads to lower wages and reduced benefits. Collective bargaining can help counter this effect, but T-Mobile and Sprint have long histories of violating workers' rights.

T-Mobile and Sprint have long histories of violating workers' rights and offshoring U.S. jobs.

Both companies have unlawfully resisted their employees' attempts to organize a union and collectively bargain for higher wages and other terms of employment. T-Mobile has been found guilty of violating U.S. labor law six times since 2015 and has been subject to approximately 40 unfair labor practice charges since 2011. Both Sprint and T-Mobile also offshore a significant portion of call center work to Philippines, Guatemala, Honduras, India, Mexico, Panama, the Dominican Republic, Costa Rica, and Canada.

The Bottom Line? Regulators should not approve the merger without verifiable and enforceable commitments on jobs and workers' rights from T-Mobile and Sprint

Unless the companies agree to ensure that no T-Mobile or Sprint employees will lose their jobs as a result of this transaction, commit to returning all overseas customer call center jobs to the U.S., abide by all labor and employment laws, allow their employees to form a union of their own choosing, free from any employer interference, the FCC should not approve this merger.

¹ For more detail, see CWA's comments on the merger filed with the Federal Communications Commission, August 27, 2018.

² See <u>Joint Declaration of Joseph Harrington and The Brattle Group</u>, Exhibit B, page 52 of Dish Network Corporation's Petition to Deny the Merger, August 27, 2018.

³ T-Mobile Press Release, Oct. 30, 2018.

⁴Sprint 2Q18 Earnings Call Transcript, Oct. 31, 2018.

⁵For more detail, see CWA research presented in detail on page 67 of <u>CWA's comments to the Federal Communications Commission</u>, August 27, 2018.